# COURT OF STATE

## **NEWSLETTER**

Vol. 7, Issue No. 1

January to March, 2015



#### EDITORIAL BOARD

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sunil Kumar Sinha, Chief Justice, High Court of Sikkim Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.P. Wangdi, Judge, High Court of Sikkim

#### **COMPILED BY**

Mrs. K. C. Barphungpa, Registrar General

A quarterly Newsletter published by High Court of Sikkim, Gangtok. Also available on our website: www.highcourtofsikkim.nic.in

## Contents

Vacancies in Court	1
Institution, Disposal and Pendency of Cases	2-6
Some Recent High Court Judgements	7-8
Major Developments & Events	9-10
Important Visits & Conferences	11

#### **VACANCIES IN COURTS**

#### (i) Vacancies in High Court of Sikkim as on 31.03.2015

Sl. No.	Sanctioned Strength	Working Strength	Vacancies
1	03	02	01

#### (ii )Vacancies in the District & Subordinate Courts as on 31.03.2015

Sl. No.	Sanctioned Strength	Working Strength	Vacancies
1	Superior Judicial Service (SSJS) -10	8	2 1 post of District & Sessions Judge (Spl. DivI) & 1 recently created post (in compliance to the decision passed in Brij Mohan Lal Vs. Union of India)
2	Sikkim Judicial Service (SJS) - 08	7	1 1 post of CJM-cum- Civil Judge (South & West
Total	18	15	3

## INSTITUTION, DISPOSAL & PENDENCY OF CASES

# (1). Statement of Main & Misc. Cases in the High Court of Sikkim from 01.01.2015 to 31.03.2015

	Pending as on 01.01.2015		1100000	Institution		isposal	Pending as on 31.03.2015	
SI. No	Main Case	013	Main Case	Misc. Appl	Main Case	Misc. Appl	Main Case	Misc. App
	IVIAIII Casc					2006	119	47
1	108	44	44	84	33	81	119	47

### (2). Total Institution, Disposal and Pendency of cases in the Subordinate Courts of Sikkim from 01.01.2015 to 31.03.2015

			Civil	Cases			Crimina		
Name of the	Court	Opening Balance as on 11.01.15	Institution from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Pendency at the end of 31.03.15	Opening Balance as on 01.01.15	Institution from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Pendency at the end of 31.03.15
East	Main Cases	169	31	23	177	514	165	146	533
District at Gangtok	Misc Cases	83	60	52	91	14	203	205	12
West	Main Cases	16	2	3	15	27	30	25	32 -
District at Gyalshing	Misc Cases	17	9	13	13	0	63	63	0
North	Main Cases	13	4	3	14	12	8	7	13
District at Mangan	Misc Cases	2	7	7	2	0	6	5	1
South	Main Cases	49	7	7	49	78	90	93	75
District at Namchi	Misc Cases	25	23	24	24	12	97	106	3
Family	Main Cases	77	39	28	88	27	25	14	38
Courts	Misc	0	1	0	1	8	11	5	14
Fast Track	Main	-	-		-	7	3	2	8
Court	Misc		-	-	12	0	0	0	0
Juvenile Justice Boards	Main Cases		=	21	-	10	15	12	13
	Misc Case:		-	*	-	0	2	2	712
Total Ma	in Cases	324	83	64	343	675	336	299	
Total Mi	sc Cases	127	100	96	131	34	382	386	30

## INSTITUTION, DISPOSAL & PENDENCY OF CASES DISTRICT WISE

(1). Total Institution, Disposal and Pendency of cases in the Subordinate Courts of Sikkim from 01.01.2015 to 31.03.2015

#### (I) East District at Gangtok

(I) East Dist			Civil	Cases			Crimina	al Cases	
Name of the	ame of the Court		Institution from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Pendency at the end of 31.03.15	Opening Balance as on 01.01.15	Institution from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Pendency at the end of 31.03.15
Principal District &	Main Cases	65	22	17	70	71	34	22	83
Ludwa (Cock)	Misc Cases	68	52	49	71	2	44	43	3
Sessions Cas Judge Mis	Main Cases	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Misc Cases	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
District & Sessions	Main Cases	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
Judge (Spl.Div II)	Misc Cases	1	5	2	4	0	0	0	0
Chief Judicial Magistrate-	Main Cases	6	1	0	7	280	102	94	288
cum-Civil Judge (East & North)	Misc Cases	5	0	0	5	4	90	91	3
Civil Judge- cum-judicial	Main Cases	96	8	6	98	162	29	29	162
Magistrate (East)	Misc Cases	9	2	1	10	8	69	71	6
Total Main	Cases	169	31	23	177	514	165	146	533
Total Misc C	Cases	83	60	52	91	14	203	205	12

#### (I) West District at Gyalshing

	Court		Civil	Cases		Criminal Cases				
Name of the Court		Opening Balance as on 01.01.15	Institution from	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Pendency at the end of 31.03.15	Opening Balance as on 01.01.15	Institution from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Pendence at the end of 31.03.15	
District & Sessions	Main Cases	. 9	1	1	9	12	7	3	16	
Judge (West)	Misc Cases	14	7	9	12	0	3	3	0	
Civil Judge- cum-judicial	Main Cases	7	1	2	6	15	23	22	16	
Magistrate (West)	Misc Cases	3	2	4	1	0	60	60	0	
Total Main	Cases	16	2	3	15	27	30	25	32	
Total Misc Cases		17	9	13	13	0	63	63	0	

ii) North Dis	TARTE CONTROL	AMELINEE -		VIII - IIVG		Criminal Cases					
Name of the Court				Disposal from 01.01.15 to	Pendency at the end of 31.03.15	Opening Balance as on 01.01.15	Institution from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	at the end of 31.03.15		
		on 01.01.15	31.03.15	31.03.15			0	0	1		
District &	Main Cases	8	1	3	6	1	0	2	0		
Sessions	Misc	2	6	6	2	0	2	2	U		
(North)	Cases	2		1			8	7	12		
Civil Judge-	Main	5	3	0	8	11	8	· '	- 1		
cum-judicial	Cases		-	12		0	4	3	1		
Magistrate (North)	Misc	0	1	1	0	0		-	13		
(HOLEN)	Cases	-		3	14	12	8	7	15		
Total Main Cases Total Misc Cases		13	4	3	-	-	6	5	1		
		2	7	7	2	0	ь	3	-		

## (iv) South District at Namchi

v) South Dist	100 THE RESERVE OF TH		- W	Chimin.			Crimina	Cases	
			Civil (		Pendency	Opening	Institution	Disposal	Pendency at the
Name of the Court		Opening Balance as on 01.01.15	Institution from 01.01.15 to	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	at the end of 31.03.15	Balance as on 01.01.15	from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	end of 31.03.15
Main			31.03.15	2	33	48	19	15	52
District & Sessions	Cases	32	3					20	0
udge	Misc	19	21	17	23	0	26	26	0
South)	Cases	15	-			4.7	49	58	8
	Main	0	0	0	0	17	49	- 50	
	Cases			-	-	6	60	63	3
Judge(South	Misc	3	0	3	0	0			-
&West)	Cases			13	22	20	15		
Civil Judge-	Main Cases	17	4	5	16	13	-		-
cum-judicial	udiciai			4	1	6	11	17	0
Magistrate (South)	Misc	3	2	4	1			93	75
A CANADA CONTRACTOR		49	7	7	49	78	90	93	-
Total Main Cases Total Misc Cases		45	23	-	24	12	97	106	3
		25		24	24	12	3,		

#### (V) Family Courts

			Civil	Cases		Criminal Cases				
Name of the Court		Opening Balance as on 01.01.15	Institution from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Pendency at the end of 31.03.15	Opening Balance as on 01.01.15	Institution from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Pendency at the end of 31.03.15	
Family Court,	Main Cases	48	23	20	51	17	13	.9	21	
East at Gangtok	Misc Cases	0	1	0	1	7	6	4	9	
Family Court,	Main Cases	6	3	3	6	4	1	2	3	
West at Gyalshing	Misc Cases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Family Court,	Main Cases	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	
North at Mangan	Misc Cases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Family Court, South at	Main Cases	23	12	5	30	6	9	3	12	
Namchi	Misc Cases	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	5	
Total Main C	ases	77	39	28	88	27	25	14	38	
Total Misc Ca	ases	0	1	0	1	8	11	5	14	

#### (vi) Fast Track Court

			Crimina	l Cases		
Name of the Court		Opening Balance as on 01.01.15	Institution from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Pendency at the end of 31.03.15	
Fast Track Court (East & North at	Main Cases	2	2	0	4	
Gangtok)	Misc Cases	0	0	0	0	
Fast Track Court (South & West) at	Main Cases	5	1	2	4	
Gyalshing	Misc Cases	0	0	0	0	
Total Main Cases		7	3	2	8	
Total Mis	c Cases	0	0	0	0	

	ice Boards		Crimina	l Cases	
Name of th	ne Court	Opening Balance as on 01.01.15	Institution from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Disposal from 01.01.15 to 31.03.15	Pendency at the end of 31.03.15
Iuvenile Justice	Main Cases	6	5	3	
Board East at - Gangtok	Misc Cases	0	0	0	0
Juvenile Justice Board West at Gyalshing	Main Cases	0	4	1	3
	Misc Cases	0	1	1	0
Juvenile Justice	Main Cases	3	4	5	2
Board North Mangan	Misc Cases	0	0	0	0
Juvenile Justice	Main Cases	1	2	3	0
Board South at Namchi	Misc Cases	0	1	1	0
Total M	ain Cases	10	15	12	13
	isc Cases	0	2	2	0

## SOME RECENT HIGH COURT JUDGMENTS

- 1. Unlike in Criminal proceedings which require proof beyond reasonable doubt, the test to be applied in Civil proceedings is preponderance of probabilities: On 05.03.2015, a Single Bench of the Court in Mat. App. No. 03 of 2014 (Smt. Renu Rai vs Buddha Kumar Rai) held that in civil proceedings, proof beyond reasonable doubt would hardly be required as the same being the proof of higher standard which generally governs criminal trials or quasi criminal matters. In the instant case of dissolution of marriage on grounds of cruelty, probabilities are to be looked into and if the probabilities and other surrounding circumstances are in such a fashion that a reasonable man can draw only one conclusion, then it has to be drawn. The test to be applied is whether, on preponderance of probabilities, the fact is proved or not. The court held that the humiliation and agony suffered by the respondent as a result of filing of false complaint by the appellant against him under the Domestic Violence Act was an instance of cruelty against the respondent. It was further held that the instances of the appellant having an extra marital affair as found in the evidence of the respondent himself, as well as the other witnesses, were sufficient to prove the ground raised under Section 13(1)(i) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, and at the same time, they were also sufficient to prove the act of mental cruelty committed by the appellant against the respondent. The Court, finding no substance in the appeal against the judgment and decree of dissolution of marriage, dismissed the appeal.
- 2. Cruelty in the context of Section 489-A IPC must be of a very grave nature: On 10.03.2015, a Single Bench of the Court in Crl. A. No. 25 of 2014 [Krishna Bahadur Rawat (Chettri) vs. State of Sikkim] held that while considering the case of cruelty in the context of the provisions of Section 498-A of the IPC, the court must examine that allegations/accusations must be of a very grave nature and should be proved beyond reasonable doubt. A casual incident of assault or petty quarrel between husband and wife cannot be taken as proof of cruelty as is required under Section 498-A. Unless there is a continuous state of affairs of torture, petty quarrels and simple family affairs between husband and wife would not prove the cruelty between them for the purpose of Section 498-A. Thus, on an incident of a petty quarrel of 2004 and on other incident of a petty quarrel of 2010 between the appellant husband and his wife, the conviction of the appellant under Section 498-A IPC was not justified. The Court set aside the conviction of the appellant under Section 498-A IPC.

- 3. Appellate court should not interfere with the judgment of acquittal in the absence of compelling and substantial reasons: On 11.03.2015, a Single Bench of the Court in Crl. L.P. No. 08 of 2014 (State of Sikkim Vs. Lakpa Tshering Gensapa) held that the principle to be followed by the Appellate Court, considering the matter of appeal against the judgment of acquittal, is to interfere only when there are compelling and substantial reasons for doing so. The paramount consideration of the court, in such matters, is to ensure that miscarriage of justice is prevented. In a case where admissible evidence is ignored a duty is cast upon the appellate Court to re-appreciate the evidence where the accused has been acquitted, for the purpose of ascertaining as to whether any of the accused has really committed any offence or not. The Court, finding no compelling and substantial reasons to interfere with the findings recorded by the Special Court in a case under Section 13(1)(e) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 read with Section 120-B of the IPC, observed that it is not a case in which the impugned judgment is clearly unreasonable or a case in which relevant and convincing material has been eliminated in the process of appreciation of evidence. The court dismissed the petition seeking leave to file the appeal against the judgment of acquittal.
- 4. Availability of civil remedy by itself is not a ground to quash the criminal proceedings: On 12.03.2015, a Single Bench of the Court in Crl M.C. No. 11 of 2014 (Vijay Kumar Ghai Vs. Ashwin Oberoi) held that a commercial transaction or a commercial dispute, apart from furnishing a cause of action for seeking remedy in civil law, may also involve a criminal offence. Since, the scope of the two proceedings is different, the mere fact that the complaint relates to a commercial transaction for which a civil remedy is available, is by itself not a ground to quash the criminal proceedings. The Court refusing to quash the complaint filed by the respondent against the petitioner under Sections 406/420 of the IPC in the court of Ld. Judicial Magistrate, East and North at Gangtok for dishonestly presenting the undated cheques kept as security by the respondent to the petitioner in terms of the franchise agreement for encashment in violation of the legal contract and the express direction in the contract, held that in the present case, the dispute no doubt emanates from a commercial transaction but from the facts and circumstances of the case, it also attracts the offence under Section 405 IPC. The Court further held, that the existence of an arbitration clause in the agreement is not by itself an effective substitute for a criminal prosecution when the disputed act itself is an offence.

#### MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS & EVENTS

#### 1. REPUBLIC DAY CELEBRATION

The High Court of Sikkim celebrated Republic Day on 26<sup>th</sup> January, 2015. The National Flag was unfurled by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sunil Kumar Sinha, Acting Chief Justice in presence of Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.P. Wangdi, Judge, High Court of Sikkim.

Present on the occasion were Judicial Officers, Members of the Bar, Officers and staff of the Registry, Press and Media.

#### 2. FULL COURT REFERENCE

On 17th February, 2015 a Full Court Reference was held in the High Court of Sikkim on the sad demise of Justice R.K. Patra, former Chief Justice of this High Court on 28th January, 2015.

The Full Court Reference was attended by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sunil Kumar Sinha, Acting Chief Justice, High Court of Sikkim, Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.P. Wangdi, Judge, High Court of Sikkim, Judicial Officers, Senior Advocates, Members of the Bar and Officers of the Registry.

#### 3. SWEARING-IN CEREMONY





In pursuance of Notification No. K-13031/01/2015-US.II dated 26th March, 2015 issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Law & Justice, (Department of Justice), New Delhi, Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sunil Kumar Sinha, Acting Chief Justice, High Court of Sikkim was sworn-in as the Chief Justice of High Court Sikkim on 30th March, 2015.









The Oath of Office was administered by the Hon'ble Governor of Sikkim, Shri Sriniwas Patil at Ashirbad Hall, Raj Bhawan, Gangtok in the presence of Hon'ble Chief Minister of Sikkim, Shri Pawan Chamling, Hon'ble Cabinet Ministers, Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.P. Wangdi, Judge, High Court of Sikkim. The Chief Secretary, Dignitaries of the State Government, Judicial Officers, Senior Advocates, Members of the Bar and local gentry also attended the function.

#### IMPORTANT VISITS & CONFERENCES

- (1) Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sunil Kumar Sinha, Acting Chief Justice, High Court of Sikkim attended the *Meeting of Chairpersons of High Court Computer Committee* at Supreme Court of India on 14-15 February, 2015.
- (2) Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.P. Wangdi, Judge, High Court of Sikkim & Executive Chairman, Sikkim SLSA and also Member, Central Authority, NALSA attended the *International Conference on Global Environmental Issues* organized by the National Green Tribunal on 14-15 March, 2015 at Vigyan Bhawan, New Delhi.

His Lordship also attended the 13th All India Meet of State Legal Services Authority & Central Authority Meeting of NALSA on 21-22 March, 2015 at Ranchi, Iharkhand.

The Meet was organized by the Jharkhand State Legal Services Authority and the Government of Jharkhand.